

Resolution No. 31198

A RESOLUTION stating the City of Seattle's interest in potentially holding a November 2011 annexation election in the remaining unincorporated area of North Highline, and outlining a work program, deliverables, and timeline to help the Mayor and the City Council determine whether Seattle should pursue annexation of this area.

The City of Seattle – Legislative Department

Resolution sponsored by: Conlin

Committee Action:

Date	Recommendation	Vote
4/6/10	passed amendments	3-0 yes Conlin, O'Brien, Gorden
4/6/10	passed unanimously	3-0 yes Conlin, O'Brien, Gorden



This file is complete and ready for presentation to Full Council. RC

Full Council Action:

Date	Decision	Vote
4-12-10	Adopted	8-0 (Excused: Clark)

Related Legislation File: _____

Date Introduced and Referred: 3.29.10	To: (committee): Regional Dev. + Sustainability
Date Re-referred:	To: (committee):
Date Re-referred:	To: (committee):
Date of Final Action: 4-12-10	Date Presented to Mayor: 4-12-10
Date Signed by Mayor: 4.16.10	Date Returned to City Clerk: 4.19.10
Published by Title Only <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Date Returned Without Concurrence:
Published in Full Text <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	

RESOLUTION 31198

1
2 A RESOLUTION stating the City of Seattle's interest in potentially holding a November 2011
3 annexation election in the remaining unincorporated area of North Highline, and
4 outlining a work program, deliverables, and timeline to help the Mayor and the City
Council determine whether Seattle should pursue annexation of this area.

5 WHEREAS, the Washington State Growth Management Act and King County Countywide
6 Planning Policies anticipate all unincorporated areas within a City's urban boundaries
will eventually be annexed; and

7 WHEREAS, in 2006, the City of Seattle (Seattle) and the City of Burien (Burien) designated the
8 same unincorporated North Highline area a Potential Annexation Area in their respective
Comprehensive Plans; and

9
10 WHEREAS, Burien held an election in August 2009 to annex the southern half of the
unincorporated North Highline area, known as "Area X"; and

11 WHEREAS, the voters in Area X voted affirmatively to annex to Burien and will officially
12 become part of Burien in April 2010; and

13 WHEREAS, in 2009, the State legislature amended the Revised Code of Washington to enable
14 Seattle to access up to \$5 million from state sales tax credit revenues to help offset the
costs of annexation; and

15 WHEREAS, while preliminary financial estimates suggest the state sales tax credit is insufficient
16 to cover the costs associated with the remaining unincorporated North Highline area,
17 known as "Area Y", Seattle is interested in gathering additional information prior to
making a final decision to pursue annexation of Area Y; and

18 WHEREAS, the City Council is committed to working cooperatively with the Executive on the
19 issues arising from the potential annexation of Area Y; and

20 WHEREAS, the Executive will need to ensure sufficient City resources are provided to carry out
21 and implement the activities outlined below; and

22 WHEREAS, Seattle should pass a Notice of Intent to Annex resolution by March 2011 if it
23 determines it may want to hold a November 2011 annexation election in Area Y; and

24 WHEREAS, should Seattle proceed with an annexation vote in November 2011, actual
25 annexation of the area might not occur until 2013; and

26 WHEREAS, per King County Countywide Planning Policy LU-32, and prior to Seattle adopting
27 a Notice of Intent to Annex resolution calling for a possible annexation election in



1 Area Y, the Executive will need to notify neighboring jurisdictions of Seattle's intent;
2 and

3 WHEREAS, the notification referenced above is non-binding and would not require Seattle to
4 proceed with annexation should it decide not to pass a Notice of Intent to Annex
5 resolution; and

6 WHEREAS, the work plan and deliverables outlined below are needed to help the Executive
7 and City Council make an informed decision on the costs and benefits of pursuing
8 annexation of Area Y; and

9 WHEREAS, it is critical that the timelines indicated in Sections 5 and 6 of this resolution are
10 followed to ensure adequate time for information dissemination and public outreach
11 should Seattle decide to proceed with an annexation election in Area Y; NOW,
12 THEREFORE,

13 **BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF SEATTLE, THE**
14 **MAYOR CONCURRING, THAT:**

15 Section 1. As required by King County Countywide Planning Policy LU-32, the
16 Executive shall provide notice to neighboring jurisdictions of the City's intent to annex.

17 Section 2. The Executive should immediately form, and Council Central Staff shall
18 participate on, interdepartmental work groups to achieve the purposes of Sections 3 - 7.

19 Section 3. The City's Budget Office shall undertake a more in-depth analysis of revenues
20 and one-time and on-going operation and maintenance costs associated with annexing the
21 remaining unincorporated portion of North Highline ("Area Y") to ensure estimates are as
22 accurate and comprehensive as possible. Cost estimates should include options for varying levels
23 of City services. The cost estimates should be accompanied by detailed explanations of
24 underlying assumptions, noting whether and how assumed service levels are or are not consistent
25 with current City services. The estimates should also include a discussion of potential
26 uncertainties that could impact revenue or expenditure estimates, either positively or negatively.



1 Section 4. The City's Budget Office shall provide an assessment of potential capital
2 infrastructure costs. Cost estimates resulting from this assessment should indicate whether
3 potential capital projects are necessary to deliver a level of service similar to that in existing
4 Seattle neighborhoods, or whether the capital improvements are simply desirable. The
5 assessment should evaluate the adequacy of library, fire, police, and park facilities in Area Y.
6 The assessment should also examine the current condition and/or need for public works
7 improvements, such as street lighting, drainage and wastewater infrastructure, transportation
8 infrastructure, and water mains.
9

10 Section 5. Some potential operating and infrastructure costs will be contingent upon the
11 outcome of negotiations with King County and special purpose districts in Area Y, as outlined
12 in Sections 6 and 7. The City's Budget Office should ensure the costs of Seattle assuming, or not
13 assuming, responsibility for delivering certain special purpose district services are factored into
14 its analysis.
15

16 Section 6. The Executive should immediately commence discussions with the special
17 purpose districts in Area Y to determine potential service district transition or delivery issues.
18 Special purpose districts include services for water, sewer, and fire protection. The Executive
19 should confer with relevant City departments and recommend to the City Council whether and
20 how Seattle would transition the provision of services from the special purpose districts to the
21 City, whether any of the special purpose districts should continue to provide services directly to
22 Area Y, and any associated service or financial impacts related to either decision. If the
23 Executive recommends allowing certain special purpose districts to continue providing services
24 in Area Y, the Executive should explain how service levels will vary between Area Y and Seattle
25
26
27
28



Resolution Section	Action	Completion Date
Section 1	Executive issues notice of intent to annex to neighboring jurisdictions.	December 1, 2010 or earlier
Section 3	Update of revenues and potential Operating and Maintenance costs	January 18, 2011
Section 4	Assessment of potential capital and infrastructure costs	January 18, 2011
Section 5	Executive commences negotiations with special purpose districts & identifies service transition issues. a. Recommendation to City Council on service delivery options related to special purpose districts. b. City Council review and approval of "Term Sheet" negotiated with special purpose districts outlining tentatively agreed upon terms and conditions of transition issues.	On-going a. August 31, 2010 b. January 18, 2011
Section 6	Executive commences negotiations with King County & identifies transition issues. a. Recommendation to City Council on potential terms and conditions to be negotiated with King County. b. City Council review and approval of "Term Sheet" negotiated with King County, outlining tentatively agreed upon terms and conditions of transition issues.	On-going a. August 31, 2010 b. January 18, 2011

Section 9. Should the City pass an Intent to Annex resolution for a possible annexation election in November 2011, the Executive shall form an interdepartmental team with a designated lead contact and includes the City's Law Department and Council Central Staff. The Executive will also need to identify resources to implement the following activities:

Action	Completion Date
Develop communications plan for Council review and approval. The plan should explain how the Executive will conduct public outreach to ensure residents in Area Y can make an informed choice to annex to Seattle.	April 1, 2011
Submit necessary paperwork to the Boundary Review Board (BRB) and ensure that appropriate City staff attend BRB hearings and meetings to respond to questions on the City's proposal to annex Area Y.	Immediately following passage of an Intent to Annex resolution.
Ensure all legal deadlines are met.	On-going
Draft legislation and interlocal agreements consistent with "Term Sheets" referenced above.	On-going
Develop and disseminate information to residents in Area Y, including information on anticipated Seattle service levels compared to current service levels in Area Y and comparative tax and utility costs.	On-going




1 Section 10. The preceding work plan and deliverables should not be considered inclusive
2 of all issues or actions that may need to be explored or undertaken to help inform Seattle's
3 decision to proceed with an annexation election in Area Y or to ensure successful outreach in
4 Area Y should Seattle place an annexation measure on the ballot.

5 Adopted by the City Council the 12th day of April, 2010, and
6 signed by me in open session in authentication of its adoption this 12th day of
7 April, 2010.

8 
9 _____
10 President _____ of the City Council

11 THE MAYOR CONCURRING:

12
13 
14 _____
15 Michael McGinn, Mayor

16 Filed by me this 19th day of April, 2010.

17
18 
19 _____
20 City Clerk

21 (Seal)



FISCAL NOTE FOR NON-CAPITAL PROJECTS

Department:	Contact Person/Phone:	DOF Analyst/Phone:
Legislative	Christa Valles	684-5336

Legislation Title:

A RESOLUTION stating the City of Seattle's interest in potentially holding a November 2011 annexation election in the remaining unincorporated area of North Highline, and outlining a work program, deliverables, and timeline to help the Mayor and the City Council determine whether Seattle should pursue annexation of this area.

• **Summary of the Legislation:**

This legislation outlines a work plan and timeline for Executive staff to develop information needed to help the Mayor and City Council make an informed decision on whether to proceed with an annexation election in the remaining unincorporated North Highline area, referred to as "Area Y".

• **Background:**

In 2006, the City of Seattle designated the unincorporated North Highline Area a Potential Annexation Area (PAA) in its Comprehensive Plan. The City of Burien did the same, resulting in overlapping PAA's. Per King County's Countywide Planning Policy LU-32, Seattle and Burien arrived at a tentative agreement in which Burien would have the option to pursue annexation of the southern portion of North Highline, known as "Area X", and Seattle would have the option to pursue the southern portion of North Highline, known as "Area Y". In August 2009, residents in Area X voted to annex to Burien. This resolution indicates Seattle's desire to obtain additional information before making a final decision to pursue an annexation election in Area Y.

X This legislation does not have any financial implications.

While this legislation itself does not have direct financial implications, should the City decide to proceed with an annexation election in Area Y, the City will need to expend resources to disseminate information to voters in Area Y. And should an annexation election in Area Y affirm the voter's wishes to annex to Seattle, the City will assume certain costs and obligations (TBD) to annex Area Y to Seattle.



RESOLUTION _____

1
2 A RESOLUTION stating the City of Seattle's interest in potentially holding a November 2011
3 annexation election in the remaining unincorporated area of North Highline, and
4 outlining a work program, deliverables, and timeline to help the Mayor and the City
5 Council determine whether Seattle should pursue annexation of this area.

6 WHEREAS, the Washington State Growth Management Act and King County Countywide
7 Planning Policies anticipate all unincorporated areas within a City's urban boundaries
8 will eventually be annexed; and

9 WHEREAS, in 2006, the City of Seattle (Seattle) and the City of Burien (Burien) designated the
10 same unincorporated North Highline area a Potential Annexation Area in their respective
11 Comprehensive Plans; and

12 WHEREAS, Burien held an election in August 2009 to annex the southern half of the
13 unincorporated North Highline area, known as "Area X"; and

14 WHEREAS, the voters in Area X voted affirmatively to annex to Burien and will officially
15 become part of Burien in April 2010; and

16 WHEREAS, in 2009, the State legislature amended the Revised Code of Washington to enable
17 Seattle to access up to \$5 million from state sales tax credit revenues to help offset the
18 costs of annexation; and

19 WHEREAS, while preliminary financial estimates suggest the state sales tax credit is insufficient
20 to cover the costs associated with the remaining unincorporated North Highline area,
21 known as "Area Y", Seattle is interested in gathering additional information prior to
22 making a final decision to pursue annexation of Area Y; and

23 WHEREAS, the City Council is committed to working cooperatively with the Executive on the
24 issues arising from the potential annexation of Area Y; and

25 WHEREAS, the Executive will need to ensure sufficient City resources are provided to carry out
26 and implement the activities outlined below; and

27 WHEREAS, Seattle should pass a Notice of Intent to Annex resolution by March 2011 if it
28 determines it may want to hold a November 2011 annexation election in Area Y; and

WHEREAS, should Seattle proceed with an annexation vote in November 2011, actual
annexation of the area might not occur until 2013; and

WHEREAS, per King County Countywide Planning Policy LU-32, and prior to Seattle adopting
a Notice of Intent to Annex resolution calling for a possible annexation election in



1 Area Y, the Executive will need to notify neighboring jurisdictions of Seattle's intent;
2 and

3 WHEREAS, the notification referenced above is non-binding and would not require Seattle to
4 proceed with annexation should it decide not to pass a Notice of Intent to Annex
5 resolution; and

6 WHEREAS, the work plan and deliverables outlined below are needed to help the Executive
7 and City Council make an informed decision on the costs and benefits of pursuing
8 annexation of Area Y; and

9 WHEREAS, it is critical that the timelines indicated in Sections 5 and 6 of this resolution are
10 followed to ensure adequate time for information dissemination and public outreach
11 should Seattle decide to proceed with an annexation election in Area Y; NOW,
12 THEREFORE,

13 **BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF SEATTLE, THE**
14 **MAYOR CONCURRING, THAT:**

15 Section 1. As required by King County Countywide Planning Policy LU-32, the
16 Executive shall provide notice to neighboring jurisdictions of the City's intent to annex.

17 Section 2. The Executive should immediately form, and Council Central Staff shall
18 participate on, interdepartmental work groups to achieve the purposes of Sections 3 - 7.

19 Section 3. The City's Budget Office shall undertake a more in-depth analysis of revenues
20 and one-time and on-going operation and maintenance costs associated with annexing the
21 remaining unincorporated portion of North Highline ("Area Y") to ensure estimates are as
22 accurate and comprehensive as possible. Cost estimates should include options for varying levels
23 of City services. The cost estimates should be accompanied by detailed explanations of
24 underlying assumptions, noting whether and how assumed service levels are or are not consistent
25 with current City services. The estimates should also include a discussion of potential
26 uncertainties that could impact revenue or expenditure estimates, either positively or negatively.



1 Section 4. The City's Budget Office shall provide an assessment of potential capital
2 infrastructure costs. Cost estimates resulting from this assessment should indicate whether
3 potential capital projects are necessary to deliver a level of service similar to that in existing
4 Seattle neighborhoods, or whether the capital improvements are simply desirable. The
5 assessment should evaluate the adequacy of library, fire, police, and park facilities in Area Y.
6 The assessment should also examine the current condition and/or need for public works
7 improvements, such as street lighting, drainage and wastewater infrastructure, transportation
8 infrastructure, and water mains.
9

10 Section 5. Some potential operating and infrastructure costs will be contingent upon the
11 outcome of negotiations with King County and special purpose districts in Area Y, as outlined
12 in Sections 6 and 7. The City's Budget Office should ensure the costs of Seattle assuming, or not
13 assuming, responsibility for delivering certain special purpose district services are factored into
14 its analysis.
15

16 Section 6. The Executive should immediately commence discussions with the special
17 purpose districts in Area Y to determine potential service district transition or delivery issues.
18 Special purpose districts include services for water, sewer, and fire protection. The Executive
19 should confer with relevant City departments and recommend to the City Council whether and
20 how Seattle would transition the provision of services from the special purpose districts to the
21 City, whether any of the special purpose districts should continue to provide services directly to
22 Area Y, and any associated service or financial impacts related to either decision. If the
23 Executive recommends allowing certain special purpose districts to continue providing services
24 in Area Y, the Executive should explain how service levels will vary between Area Y and Seattle
25
26
27
28



1 residents after annexation occurs. Once the City Council has approved the general approach to
2 the provision of services currently provided by special purpose districts in Area Y, the Executive
3 should negotiate draft agreements with each special purpose district that outlines the terms and
4 conditions to be formalized in a future Interlocal Agreement.

5 Section 7. The Executive should immediately commence discussions with King County
6 to identify issues for negotiation; such as the transition of sheriff office employees, ensuring the
7 completion of current King County capital road projects, the transfer of solid waste and recycling
8 services, and the disposition and transfer of certain property from King County to Seattle,
9 including parks. The Executive should confer with relevant City departments and recommend to
10 the City Council, for its approval, how Seattle should transition the provision of services from
11 King County to the City, noting any associated service or financial impacts of various options.
12 Once the City Council has approved the general approach to negotiations with King County, the
13 Executive should negotiate a draft agreement with King County outlining the terms and
14 conditions to be formalized in a future Interlocal Agreement. The Executive should ensure that
15 any draft property and/or facility transfer agreements include appropriate indemnification for the
16 City, such as for known or potential hazardous wastes.

17
18
19 Section 8. The Executive should provide the information or carry out the actions outlined
20 in Sections 1-7 within the stated timeframe below.
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28



1 decision to proceed with an annexation election in Area Y or to ensure successful outreach in
2 Area Y should Seattle place an annexation measure on the ballot.

3 Adopted by the City Council the ____ day of _____, 2010, and
4 signed by me in open session in authentication of its adoption this _____ day of
5 _____, 2010.

6
7 President _____ of the City Council

8
9 THE MAYOR CONCURRING:

10
11 _____
12 Michael McGinn, Mayor

13 Filed by me this ____ day of _____, 2010.

14
15
16 _____
17 City Clerk

18 (Seal)

THIS VERSION IS NOT ADOPTED



STATE OF WASHINGTON – KING COUNTY

--SS.

253798
CITY OF SEATTLE, CLERKS OFFICE

No.

Affidavit of Publication

The undersigned, on oath states that he is an authorized representative of The Daily Journal of Commerce, a daily newspaper, which newspaper is a legal newspaper of general circulation and it is now and has been for more than six months prior to the date of publication hereinafter referred to, published in the English language continuously as a daily newspaper in Seattle, King County, Washington, and it is now and during all of said time was printed in an office maintained at the aforesaid place of publication of this newspaper. The Daily Journal of Commerce was on the 12th day of June, 1941, approved as a legal newspaper by the Superior Court of King County.


The notice in the exact form annexed, was published in regular issues of The Daily Journal of Commerce, which was regularly distributed to its subscribers during the below stated period. The annexed notice, a

CT:RESOLUTION 31198


was published on


04/27/10

The amount of the fee charged for the foregoing publication is the sum of \$ 361.73, which amount has been paid in full.



Affidavit of Publication



Subscribed and sworn to before me on
04/27/10 

Notary public for the State of Washington,
residing in Seattle

City of Seattle

RESOLUTION 37198

A RESOLUTION stating the City of Seattle's interest in potentially holding a November 2011 annexation election in the remaining unincorporated area of North Highline, and outlining a work program, deliverables, and timeline to help the Mayor and the City Council determine whether Seattle should pursue annexation of this area.

WHEREAS, the Washington State Growth Management Act and King County Countywide Planning Policies anticipate all unincorporated areas within a City's urban boundaries will eventually be annexed; and

WHEREAS, in 2006, the City of Seattle (Seattle) and the City of Burien (Burien) designated the same unincorporated North Highline area a Potential Annexation Area in their respective Comprehensive Plans; and

WHEREAS, Burien held an election in August 2009 to annex the southern half of the unincorporated North Highline area, known as "Area X"; and

WHEREAS, the voters in Area X voted affirmatively to annex to Burien and will officially become part of Burien in April 2010; and

WHEREAS, in 2009, the State legislature amended the Revised Code of Washington to enable Seattle to access up to \$5 million from state sales tax credit revenues to help offset the costs of annexation; and

WHEREAS, while preliminary financial estimates suggest the state sales tax credit is insufficient to cover the costs associated with the remaining unincorporated North Highline area, known as "Area Y", Seattle is interested in gathering additional information prior to making a final decision to pursue annexation of Area Y; and

WHEREAS, the City Council is committed to working cooperatively with the Executive on the issues arising from the potential annexation of Area Y; and

WHEREAS, the Executive will need to ensure sufficient City resources are provided to carry out and implement the activities outlined below; and

WHEREAS, Seattle should pass a Notice of Intent to Annex resolution by March 2011 if it determines it may want to hold a November 2011 annexation election in Area Y; and

WHEREAS, should Seattle proceed with an annexation vote in November 2011, actual annexation of the area might not occur until 2013; and

WHEREAS, per King County Countywide Planning Policy LU-32, and prior to Seattle adopting a Notice of Intent to Annex resolution calling for a possible annexation election in Area Y, the Executive will need to notify neighboring jurisdictions of Seattle's intent; and

WHEREAS, the notification referenced above is non-binding and would not require Seattle to proceed with annexation should it decide not to pass a Notice of Intent to Annex resolution; and

WHEREAS, the work plan and deliverables outlined below are needed to help the Executive and City Council make an informed decision on the costs and benefits of pursuing annexation of Area Y; and

WHEREAS, it is critical that the timelines indicated in Sections 5 and 6 of this resolution are followed to ensure adequate time for information dissemination and public outreach should Seattle decide to proceed with an annexation election in Area Y; NOW, THEREFORE,

BE IT RESOLVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF SEATTLE, THE MAYOR CONCURRING, THAT:

Section 1. As required by King County Countywide Planning Policy LU-32, the Executive shall provide notice to neighboring jurisdictions of the City's intent to annex.

Section 2. The Executive should immediately form, and Council Central Staff shall participate on, interdepartmental work groups to achieve the purposes of Sections 3 - 7.

Section 3. The City's Budget Office shall undertake a more in-depth analysis of revenues and one-time and on-going operation and maintenance costs associated with annexing the remaining unincorporated portion of North Highline ("Area Y") to ensure estimates are as accurate and comprehensive as possible. Cost estimates should include options for varying levels of City services. The cost estimates should be accompanied by detailed explanations of underlying assumptions, noting whether and how assumed service levels are or are not consistent with current City services. The estimates should also include a discussion of potential uncertainties that could impact revenue or expenditure estimates, either positively or negatively.

Section 4. The City's Budget Office shall provide an assessment of potential capital infrastructure costs. Cost estimates resulting from this assessment should indicate whether potential capital projects are necessary to deliver a level of service similar to that in existing Seattle neighborhoods, or whether the capital improvements are simply desirable. The assessment should evaluate the adequacy of library, fire, police, and park facilities in Area Y. The assessment should also examine the current condition and/or need for public works improvements, such as street lighting, drainage and wastewater infrastructure, transportation infrastructure, and water mains.

Section 5. Some potential operating and infrastructure costs will be contingent upon the outcome of negotiations with King County and special purpose districts in Area Y, as outlined in Sections 6 and 7. The City's Budget Office should ensure the costs of Seattle assuming, or not assuming, responsibility for delivering certain special purpose district services are factored into its analysis.

Section 6. The Executive should immediately commence discussions with the special purpose districts in Area Y to determine potential service district transition or delivery issues. Special purpose districts include services for water, sewer, and fire protection. The Executive should confer with relevant City departments and recommend to the City Council whether and how Seattle would transition the provision of services from the special purpose districts to the City, whether any of the special purpose districts should continue to provide services directly to Area Y, and any associated service or financial impacts related to either decision. If the Executive recommends allowing certain special purpose districts to continue providing services in Area Y, the Executive should explain how service levels will vary between Area Y and Seattle residents after annexation occurs. Once the City Council has approved the general approach to the provision of services currently provided by special purpose districts in Area Y, the Executive should negotiate draft agreements with each special purpose district that outlines the terms and conditions to be formalized in a future Interlocal Agreement.

Section 7. The Executive should immediately commence discussions with King County to identify issues for negotiation; such as the transition of sheriff office employees, ensuring the completion of current King County capital road projects, the transfer of solid waste and recycling services, and the disposition and transfer of certain property from King County to Seattle, including parks. The Executive should confer with relevant City departments and recommend to the City Council, for its approval, how Seattle should transition the provision of services from King County to the City, noting any associated service or financial impacts of various options. Once the City Council has approved the general approach to negotiations with King County, the Executive should negotiate a draft agreement with King County outlining the terms and conditions to be formalized in a future Interlocal Agreement. The Executive should ensure that any draft property and/or facility transfer agreements include appropriate indemnification for the City, such as for known or potential hazardous wastes.

Section 8. The Executive should provide the information or carry out the actions outlined in Sections 1-7 within the stated timeframe below.

Resolution Section -- Action -- Completion Date

Section 1 -- Executive issues notice of intent to annex to neighboring jurisdictions. -- December 1, 2010 or earlier

Section 3 -- Update of revenues and potential Operating and Maintenance costs -- January 18, 2011

Section 4 -- Assessment of potential capital and infrastructure costs -- January 18, 2011

Section 5 -- Executive commences negotiations with special purpose districts & identifies service transition issues; a. Recommendation to City Council on service delivery options related to special purpose districts; b. City Council review and approval of "Term Sheet" negotiated with special purpose districts outlining tentatively agreed upon terms and conditions of transition issues. -- On-going; a. August 31, 2010; b. January 18, 2011

Section 6 -- Executive commences negotiations with King County & identifies transition issues; a. Recommendation to City Council on potential terms and conditions to be negotiated with King County; b. City Council review and approval of "Term Sheet" negotiated with King County, outlining tentatively agreed upon terms and conditions of transition issues. -- On-going; a. August 31, 2010; b. January 18, 2011

Section 9. Should the City pass an Intent to Annex resolution for a possible annexation election in November 2011, the Executive shall form an interdepartmental team with a designated lead contact and includes the City's Law Department and Council Central Staff. The Executive will also need to identify resources to implement the following activities:

Action -- Completion Date

Develop communications plan for Council review and approval. The plan should explain how the Executive will conduct public outreach to ensure residents in Area Y can make an informed choice to annex to Seattle. -- April 1, 2011

Submit necessary paperwork to the Boundary Review Board (BRB) and ensure that appropriate City staff attend BRB hearings and meetings to respond to questions on the City's proposal to annex Area Y. -- Immediately following passage of an Intent to Annex resolution.

Ensure all legal deadlines are met. -- On-going

Draft legislation and interlocal agreements consistent with "Term Sheets" referenced above. -- On-going

Develop and disseminate information to residents in Area Y, including information on anticipated Seattle service levels compared to current service levels in Area Y and comparative tax and utility costs. -- On-going

Section 10. The preceding work plan and deliverables should not be considered inclusive of all issues or actions that may need to be explored or undertaken to help inform Seattle's decision to proceed with an annexation election in Area Y or to ensure successful outreach in Area Y should Seattle place an annexation measure on the ballot.

Adopted by the City Council the 12th day of April, 2010, and signed by me in open session in authentication of its adoption this 12th day of April, 2010.

RICHARD CONLIN,

President of the City Council.

THE MAYOR CONCURRING:

MICHAEL MCGINN,

Mayor.

Filed by me this 19th day of April, 2010.

(Seal) JUDITH E. PIPPIN,

City Clerk.

Date of publication in the Seattle Daily Journal of Commerce, April 27, 2010.

4/27(253798)

ffidavit